



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY AIR DEFENSE ARTILLERY CENTER AND FORT BLISS
FORT BLISS, TEXAS 79916-0058

FB92

6/15/92
NMS91AW

2/26/92



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF



ENTERED

Directorate of Installation Support

CERTIFIED MAIL

Ms. Ana Walker
New Mexico Environmental Department
Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau
525 Camino de los Marquez
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

Dear Ms. Walker:

Enclosed is the Fort Bliss (EPA ID# NM4213720101) 1991 Hazardous Waste Report.

Please contact Mr. Rab of this directorate at area code 915, 568-7930/5502 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Alan C. Smith
Colonel, U.S. Army
Director of Installation Support

Enclosure

6/15/92 Au

Sec. VI - Generator Status EPA ID NO. N1M14 | 21113 | 71210 | 11011

<p>A. 1991 RCRA generator status Instruction page 7 (CHECK ONE BOX BELOW)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 LQG <input type="checkbox"/> 2 SQG (SKIP TO SEC. VII) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 CESQG <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Non generator (CONTINUE TO BOX B)</p>	<p>B. Reason for not generating Page 9 (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> 1 Never generated <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Out of business <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Only excluded or delisted waste <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Only non-hazardous waste <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Periodic or occasional generator <input type="checkbox"/> 6 Waste minimization activity <input type="checkbox"/> 7 Other (SPECIFY COMMENTS IN BOX BELOW)</p>
--	--

Sec. VII - On-Site Waste Management Status

<p>A. RCRA permitted or interim status storage Instruction page 10</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p>	<p>B. RCRA permitted or interim status treatment, disposal, or recycling Page 10</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p>	<p>C. RCRA-exempt treatment, disposal, or recycling Page 11</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p>
--	--	---

Sec. VIII - Waste Minimization Activity during 1990 or 1991

<p>A. Did this site begin or expand a source reduction activity during 1990 or 1991? Instruction page 11</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 2 No</p>	<p>B. Did this site begin or expand a recycling activity during 1990 or 1991? Page 12</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 2 No</p>	<p>C. Did this site systematically investigate opportunities for source reduction or recycling during 1990 or 1991? Page 12</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Yes <input type="checkbox"/> 2 No</p>
---	--	--

D. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit this site's ability to initiate new or additional source reduction activities in 1990 or 1991?
Page 12
(CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH ITEM)

Yes	No		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	a.	Insufficient capital to install new source reduction equipment or implement new source reduction practices
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	b.	Lack of technical information on source reduction techniques applicable to the specific production processes
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	c.	Source reduction is not economically feasible: cost savings in waste management or production will not recover the capital investment
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	d.	Concern that product quality may decline as a result of source reduction
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	e.	Technical limitations of the production processes
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	f.	Permitting burdens
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	g.	Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be technically feasible
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	h.	Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be economically feasible
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	i.	Source reduction previously implemented - additional reduction does not appear to be feasible due to permitting requirements
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	j.	Other (SPECIFY COMMENTS IN BOX BELOW)

E. Did any of the factors listed below delay or limit this site's ability to initiate new or additional on-site or off-site recycling activities during 1990 or 1991?
Page 12
(CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH ITEM)

Yes	No		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	a.	Insufficient capital to install new recycling equipment or implement new recycling practice
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	b.	Lack of technical information on recycling techniques applicable to this site's specific production processes
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	c.	Recycling is not economically feasible: cost savings in waste management or production will not recover the capital investment
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	d.	Concern that product quality may decline as a result of recycling
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	e.	Requirements to manifest wastes inhibit shipments off site for recycling
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	f.	Financial liability provisions inhibit shipments off site for recycling
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	g.	Technical limitations of production processes inhibit shipments off site for recycling
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	h.	Technical limitations of production processes inhibit on-site recycling
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	i.	Permitting burdens inhibit recycling
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	j.	Lack of permitted off-site recycling facilities
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	k.	Unable to identify a market for recyclable materials
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	l.	Recycling previously implemented - additional recycling does not appear to be technically feasible
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	m.	Recycling previously implemented - additional recycling does not appear to be economically feasible
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	n.	Recycling previously implemented - additional recycling does not appear to be feasible due to permitting requirements
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	o.	Other (SPECIFY COMMENTS IN BOX BELOW)

Comments:

6/15/92 AW



U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1991 Hazardous Waste Report

FORM GM

WASTE GENERATION AND MANAGEMENT

BEFORE COPYING FORM, ATTACH SITE IDENTIFICATION LABEL OR ENTER:

SITE NAME: USAADACENFB (MCGREGOR AND DONA ANA RANGE CAMPS)

ATZC-ISE-E, FT BLISS, TX

79916-0058

EPA ID NO. NM4 213 720 1101

INSTRUCTIONS: Read the detailed instructions beginning on page 13 of the 1991 Hazardous Waste Report booklet before completing this form.

Sec. I A. Waste description Instruction Page 15: Ignitable, spent solvent from degreasing operations in the maintenance of military tactical vehicles, petroleum haphtha

B. EPA hazardous waste code Page 15: D001

C. State hazardous waste code Page 15:

D. SIC code Page 16: 9900

E. Origin code Page 16: 1 System type: M125

F. Source code Page 17: A06

G. Point of measurement Page 17: 3

H. Form code Page 17: B211

I. RCRA-radioactive mixed Page 17: 2

J. Reported TR constituent Page 18: 1

K. CAS numbers Page 18: 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Sec. II A. Quantity generated in 1990 Instruction Page 18: 10810

B. Quantity generated in 1991 Page 18: 14110

C. UCM Page 19: 1 Denary: 1 lb/gal 2 sg

D. Did this site do any of the following to this waste: treat on site, dispose on site, recycle on site, or discharge to a sewer/POTW? Page 19: 2 No (SKIP TO SEC. III)

ON-SITE SYSTEM 1: On-site system type Page 19: M Quantity treated, disposed or recycled on site in 1991:

ON-SITE SYSTEM 2: On-site system type Page 19: M Quantity treated, disposed or recycled on site in 1991:

Sec. III A. Was any of this waste shipped off site in 1991? 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B) 2 No (SKIP TO SEC. IV)

Site 1 B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to Page 20: TXD000747394 C. System type shipped to Page 20: M021 D. Off-site availability code Page 21: 1 E. Total quantity shipped in 1991 Page 21: 14110

Site 2 B. EPA ID No. of facility waste was shipped to Page 20: C. System type shipped to Page 20: M D. Off-site availability code Page 21: E. Total quantity shipped in 1991 Page 21:

Sec. IV A. Did new activities in 1991 result in minimization of this waste? 1 Yes (CONTINUE TO BOX B) 2 No (THIS FORM IS COMPLETE)

3. Activity Page 22: W1 W1

C. Other effects Page 22: 1 Yes 2 No

D. Quantity recycled in 1991 due to new activities Page 23:

E. Activity/production index Page 23:

F. 1991 Source reduction quantity Page 24:

Comments: Sec III (c) Settling